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## REPLICATIONS INC. Program Impacts <br> An Analysis of Results, Prepared by Arete Consulting

## Introduction

Because schools are so ubiquitous and new schools open all the time, the complexity of starting a brand new one is often unappreciated. Schools are deceptively complex operations, and it typically takes several years for them to work out problems and find their rhythm. Strong performance from the outset should not be taken for granted, even for an organization like Replications Inc. which is in the business of starting new schools.

A fundamental question about any educational program is, what is its impact on educational achievement, what is its value-added? A definitive answer to these questions requires complex and expensive analysis, for example comparing individual students’ performance at a later point in time to their benchmark scores before they entered a school or program. Arete Consulting conducted such an analysis several years ago for Replications Inc., and while the results were positive, that analysis was limited by the relatively small number of Replication Inc. schools and the short time horizon that they had been operating.

This analysis, which relies on the publicly posted, school wide data available from 2003 to the present, provides a snapshot in time of Replications Inc. school performance. The Replications Inc. data is compared to the other schools in the school district in which each school resides to get a sense of how the Replications Inc. schools are doing now, after several more years of operation. While these results are less definitive than an analysis based on individual student records, they give a pretty good picture of how the schools are doing.

The tables that follow present synopses of findings on demographic characteristics , and standardized test scores for all 15 Replications Inc. schools in New York City that have been in existence since 2004 or before ${ }^{1}$. (Multi-year data is not available at this time for any school begun after that time.) As will be seen, the key demographic characteristics of the students enrolled in these schools mirror those of the school system overall. Reflecting the fact that the schools are located in the poorest inner city school districts of New York City, ${ }^{2}$ Replications Inc. students are overwhelmingly of color (96\%), and twothirds are poor (based on free-lunch eligibility ${ }^{3}$ ), a slightly higher percentage than the school system overall.

The findings show that Replications Inc. schools consistently outperform the other schools in the districts in which they are located. Many of the Replications Inc. schools but not all - have also shown improvements in the test score results over the period for which comparable data was available that equaled or exceeded the district overall average.

[^0]
## Replications, Inc.

FREE LUNCH ELIGIBILITY and ETHNICITY
Students enrolled in Replications, Inc. schools are overwhelmingly minority (96\%) and poor. $66 \%$ are free lunch recipients, slightly higher than the percentage of students citywide (65\%).

| School Name | \% of enrollment eligible for <br> free lunch 04-05 |
| :--- | :---: |
| FDA II | 55.4 |
| FDA III | 6.7 |
| FDA IV | 6.9 |
| FDA V | 70.7 |
| Kappa II | 77.7 |
| Kappa III | 60.2 |
| Kappa IV | 100 |
| Kappa V | 84.6 |
| MH II | 34.3 |
| MH III | 80.7 |
| MH IV | 65.2 |
| FDA VI (HS) | 53.2 |
| FDA VII (HS) | 64.5 |
| Mott Hall HS | 96.3 |
| Bronx Int'I HS | 82.3 |
| Replications Weighted Average | $\mathbf{6 6 . 1}$ |
| New York City Schools | $\mathbf{6 4 . 8}$ |


| School Name | White |  |  | Black |  |  | Hispanic |  |  | Asian/other |  |  | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\% \text { of }$ school | \% of district | Difference in \% points | \% of school | $\% \text { of }$ district | Difference in \% points | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { school } \end{gathered}$ | $\% \text { of }$ district | Difference in \% points | \% of school | \% of district | Difference in \% points |  |
| FDA II | 1.7 | 19.9 | -18.2 | 82.4 | 37.5 | 44.9 | 14.9 | 36.5 | -21.6 | 1 | 6.2 | -5.2 | 3 |
| FDA III | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 57.3 | 33.9 | 23.4 | 40.6 | 63.4 | -22.8 | 1.3 | 2.1 | -0.8 | 9 |
| FDA IV | 0.4 | 0.6 | -0.2 | 90.2 | 86 | 4.2 | 7.5 | 11.3 | -3.8 | 2 | 2.1 | -0.1 | 16 |
| FDA V | 0 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 49.3 | 31.1 | 18.2 | 46.7 | 66.1 | -19.4 | 4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 12 |
| Kappa II | 0.6 | 0.8 | -0.2 | 79 | 64.9 | 14.1 | 19.1 | 33.2 | -14.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 5 |
| Kappa III | 0 | 0.7 | -0.7 | 45.8 | 31.1 | 14.7 | 47.5 | 66.1 | -18.6 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 12 |
| Kappa IV | 0 | 0.8 | -0.8 | 24.7 | 64.9 | -40.2 | 70.4 | 33.2 | 37.2 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 5 |
| Kappa V | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 88.5 | 81.4 | 7.1 | 9 | 16.9 | -7.9 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 23 |
| MH II | 19.9 | 19.9 | 0 | 29.9 | 37.5 | -7.6 | 43.6 | 36.5 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 0.3 | 3 |
| MH III | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 37.3 | 33.9 | 3.4 | 61.3 | 63.4 | -2.1 | 0.5 | 2.1 | -1.6 | 9 |
| MH IV | 0 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 85.5 | 81.4 | 4.1 | 14.5 | 16.9 | -2.4 | 0 | 1.1 | -1.1 | 23 |
| FDA VI (HS) | 1.1 | 10 | -8.9 | 75.5 | 34.1 | 41.4 | 19.1 | 33.7 | -14.6 | 4.3 | 22.2 | -17.9 | 27 |
| FDA VII (HS) | 0 | 0.6 | -0.6 | 76.1 | 81.4 | -5.3 | 21.1 | 16.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 23 |
| Mott Hall HS | 3.6 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 33.6 | 64.9 | -31.3 | 59.1 | 33.2 | 25.9 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 5 |
| Bronx Int'l HS | 3.5 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 25.7 | 33.9 | -8.2 | 66 | 63.4 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 9 |
| Total Weighted Avg | 3.6 |  |  | 56.5 |  |  | 36.8 |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |

## Comparison to home district

No Difference: $\pm 4$ pp.

| White | Black |  | Hispanic |  | Asian/other |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Higher | 0 | Higher | 9 | Higher | 4 | Higher |

# Replications, Inc. <br> MIDDLE SCHOOL TEST SCORES 

Glossary:
S ELA: $\quad$ State English Language and Arts Exam (grades 4 and 8)
S\&C ELA: $\quad$ State and City English Language and Arts Exam (grades 3, 5, 6 and 7city, 4 and 8 state)
S MATH: $\quad$ State Math Exam (grades 4 and 8)
S\&C MATH: State and City Math Exam (grades 3, 5, 6 and 7 for city, 4 and 8 for state)
Indicates comparable data is not available

FREDERICK DOUGLASS ACADEMY II

| Grades: 6th to 12th | School \#: | Open: | Opened: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Students in FDA II, the first Replications, Inc. School to open, have scored slightly better overall than their home-district peers. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  | Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
|  |  | FDA II | District 3 | Diff. in pp. |  |  | FDA II | District 3 |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 52.2 | 52.1 | 0.1 | Change | S ELA | 10.1 |  |
| Tests 06 | S MATH | 60.8 | 59.9 | 0.9 | 05-06 | S MATH | 10.8 |  |
|  | S ELA | 42.1 |  |  | Change | S ELA | -21.3 |  |
|  | S MATH | 50 |  |  | 03-06 | S MATH | 3.2 |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 60.1 | 56 | 4.1 | Change | S\&C ELA | 10.1 | 11.1 |
| 05 | S\&C MATH | 55.7 | 54.8 | 0.9 | 03-05 | S\&C MATH | 17.2 | 10.7 |
|  | S ELA | 73.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scores in | S MATH | 57.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 50 | 44.9 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 03 | S\&C MATH | 38.5 | 44.1 | -5.6 |  |  |  |  |

## FREDERICK DOUGLASS ACADEMY III

| Grades 6th - 11th | School \#: | 517 | Opened: | 9/2001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Test scores for FDA III, a school that benefited from the experience of developing FDA II, have substantially outpaced the home district. Student improvement on ELA tests was particularly strong between 2003 and 2005, and preliminary 2006 results (based on state tests) indicate that they have begun to excel in Math.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FDA 3 | District 9 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 57.2 | 35.3 | 21.9 |
|  | S MATH | 55.2 | 37.6 | 17.6 |
| Tests Scores in 05 | S ELA | 56.8 |  |  |
|  | S MATH | 43.2 |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 63.1 | 34 | 29.1 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 47.3 | 34.7 | 12.6 |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 45 | 24.1 | 20.9 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 41 | 28.1 | 12.9 |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FDA 3 | District 9 |
| Change | S ELA | 0.4 | 2 |
| $05-06$ | S MATH | 12.0 |  |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 18.1 | 9.9 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | 6.3 | 6.6 |


| Grades | 6 th -8 th | School \#: | 862 | Opened: | 9/2001 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Test scores at MH II not only are much better than home-district peers, but also have been showing greater multi-year improvement.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MH 2 | District 3 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 81.7 | 52.1 | 29.6 |
|  | S MATH | 86.2 | 59.9 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 3}$ |
| Tests <br> Scores in <br> $\mathbf{0 5}$ | S ELA | 64.9 |  |  |
|  | S MATH | 68.4 |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 81.3 | 56 | 25.3 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 79.6 | 54.8 | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8}$ |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 63.5 | 44.9 | 18.6 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 63 | 44.1 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MH 2 | District 3 |
| Change | S ELA | 16.8 | 2 |
| $05-06$ | S MATH | 17.8 | I. |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 17.8 | 11.1 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | 16.6 | 10.7 |

## MOTT HALL III

Grades 6th - 8th School \#: 128

Opened: 9/2002

Students in MH III consistently have performed at a very high level, especially in English Language Arts (ELA). Largely because of that (there being less upside potential), the changes in test scores have been less than the district average.

Of some concern is the decline in math performance.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MH 3 | District 9 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 83.3 | 35.3 | 48.0 |
|  | S MATH | 65.8 | 37.6 | 28.2 |
| Tests Scores in 05 | S ELA | 74.6 |  |  |
|  | S MATH | 74.6 |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 78 | 34 | 44.0 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 63 | 34.7 | 28.3 |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 75 | 24.1 | 50.9 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 86 | 28.1 | 57.9 |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MH 3 | District 9 |
| Change | S ELA | 8.7 | 2 |
| $05-06$ | S MATH | -8.8 | ? |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 3.0 | 9.9 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | -23.0 | 6.6 |

FREDERICK DOUGLASS ACADEMY IV

| Grades 6 th -10 th | School \#: | 393 | Opened: | 9/2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The ELA and math test passing rate at FDA IV has averaged 50\% to $100 \%$ higher than the rest of the district, and for the most part, the pass rates have increased over time. Last year (2005-2006), however, scores on the NY State ELA test slipped.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FDA 4 | District 16 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 61.3 | 38.2 | 23.1 |
|  | S MATH | 63.7 | 42.6 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1}$ |
| Tests <br> Scores in <br> $\mathbf{0 5}$ | S ELA | 68.9 |  |  |
|  | S MATH | 46.7 |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 70.8 | 38.3 | 32.5 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 55.4 | 40.4 | 15.0 |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 60 | 30.3 | 29.7 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 54 | 32.6 | 21.4 |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FDA 4 | District 16 |
| Change | S ELA | -7.6 |  |
| $05-06$ | S MATH | 17.0 |  |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 10.8 | 8.0 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | 1.4 | 7.8 |

KAPPA II

| Grades 5 th -8 th | School \#: | 317 | Opened: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Kappa II students dramatically outperform their home-district peers, especially in ELA, although their rate of improvement through 2005 was less than the district overall.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K II | District 5 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 43.1 | 32.4 | 10.7 |
|  | S MATH | 46.1 | 42.3 | 3.8 |
| Tests <br> Scores in <br> 05 | S ELA | S MATH |  |  |
|  | S\&C ELA | 68.2 | 3 | I |
|  | S\&C MATH | 47 | 38.3 | 32.2 |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 68 | 26.6 | 41.4 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 43 | 28.1 | 14.9 |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K II | District 5 |
| Change | S ELA |  |  |
| $05-06$ | S MATH |  |  |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 0.2 | 9.4 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | 4.0 | 10.2 |

KAPPA III

| Grades 6 th -8 th | School \# | 316 | Opened: | 9/2003 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Students in Kappa III consistently have performed at a very high level.
Largely because of that (there being less room for test score gains), the upside changes in test scores as compared to the district have been mixed.

| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K III | District 12 | Diff. in pp. |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | 73.5 | 34.2 | 39.3 |
|  | S MATH | 85.9 | 45 | 40.9 |
| Tests 05 | S\&C ELA | 83.5 | 34.7 | 48.8 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 82.8 | 40 | 42.8 |
| Tests 03 | S\&C ELA | 74 | 27.2 | 46.8 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 83 | 30 | 53.0 |


| Changes over time (change in pp.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K III | District 12 |
| Change | S ELA |  |  |
| $05-06$ | S MATH |  |  |
| Change | S\&C ELA | 9.5 | 7.5 |
| $03-05$ | S\&C MATH | -0.2 | 10.0 |

Test score results indicate that four Replications Inc. schools that opened in September 2004 are already performing at much higher levels than other schools in their home-districts.

Comparable multi-year results on test scores are not yet available.
FREDERICK DOUGLASS ACADEMY V

| Grades 6 th - 8th | School \# | 273 | Opened: | 9/2004 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | FDA 5 | District 12 | Diff. in pp. |
|  | S MATH | 58.3 | 34.2 | 14.1 |
| Tests 05 | S\&C ELA | 52.1 | 45 | 14.4 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 38.4 | 40 | 17.4 |

## Changes over time (change in pp.)

Note: Test score changes not yet available

## KAPPA IV

| Grades | 6th -8 th | School \# | 302 | Opened: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| \% of students in Ievels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | K IV | District 5 | Diff. in pp. |
|  | S MATH | 75.9 | 32.4 | 23.5 |
| Tests 05 | S\&C ELA | 71.4 | 42.3 | 32.3 |
|  | S\&C MATH | 80.5 | 38 | 35.4 |

## Changes over time (change in pp.)

Note: Test score changes not yet available

## KAPPA V



## MOTT HALL IV

| Grades 6 th -8 th | School \# | 522 | Opened: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| \% of students in levels 3 and 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tests 06 | S ELA | MH 4 | District 23 | Diff. in pp. |
|  | S MATH | 73.1 | 46.5 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 6}$ |
| Tests 05 | S\&C ELA | 87.9 | 49.8 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ |
|  | S\&C MATH | 59.7 | 44.2 | 42.5 |

## Changes over time (change in pp.)

Note: Test score changes not yet available

## Sources:

2006
2005, 2004 and 2003
2004 and 2003
NYC Department of Education Website ( http://schools.nyc.gov/daa/ ) 2004-2005 Annual School Reports. NYC Department of Education. Evaluation of Replications, Inc. 2003-2004, prepared by Arete Consulting.

## Replications, Inc. <br> REGENTS EXAMS

The percentage of Replications, Inc. high school students who pass the Regents exams $\underline{f a r}$ surpasses both their home-district peers and the citywide results.

|  | Regents (\% passing) |  |  |  | Difference in pp. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frederick Douglass II |  | FD II | District 3 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | ELA | 90 | 72.9 | 61.4 | 17.1 | 28.6 |
|  | Math A | 98 | 72.8 | 62.4 | 25.2 | 35.6 |
|  | Math B | 86 | 78.6 | 57.4 | 7.4 | 28.6 |
| 6th to 12th since 2000 | Foreign Language | 100 | 99.3 | 96.3 | 0.7 | 3.7 |
|  | Living Environment | 61 | 23.2 | 55.8 | 37.8 | 5.2 |
|  | Chemistry | 61 | 12.1 | 55.3 | 48.9 | 5.7 |
|  | US History | 78 | 80.7 | 61.1 | -2.7 | 16.9 |
|  | Global History | 100 | 61.4 | 50.8 | 38.6 | 49.2 |
| Frederick Douglass III <br> 6th to 11th since 2001 |  | FD III | District 9 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math A | 80.3 | 37.3 | 62.4 | 43.0 | 17.9 |
|  | Foreign Language | 100 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 1.8 | 3.7 |
|  | Living Environment | 79.1 | 28.1 | 55.8 | 51.0 | 23.3 |
|  | Global History | 74.6 | 44.1 | 50.8 | 30.5 | 23.8 |
| FrederickDouglass IV6th to 10thsince 2002 |  | FD IV | District 16 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math A | 95 | 72.4 | 62.4 | 22.6 | 32.6 |
|  | Living Environment | 70 | 59.9 | 55.8 | 10.1 | 14.2 |
|  | US History | 53 | 62.6 | 61.1 | -9.6 | -8.1 |
| Frederick Douglass VI |  | FDA VI | District 27 | City | vs. District | 82.3 |
|  | ELA | 83.87 | 53.8 | 61.4 | 30.1 | 22.5 |
|  | Math A | 89.29 | 65.2 | 62.4 | 24.1 | 26.9 |
| 9th to 11th since 2004 | Math B | 80 | 73 | 57.4 | 7.0 | 22.6 |
|  | Foreign Language | 100 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 4.4 | 3.7 |
|  | Living Environment | 62.96 | 52 | 55.8 | 11.0 | 7.2 |
|  | Chemistry | 100 | 40.5 | 55.3 | 59.5 | 44.7 |
|  | Global History | 62.12 | 45.1 | 50.8 | 17.0 | 11.3 |
| Frederick Douglass VII |  | FDA VII | District 23 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | ELA | 90.6 | 40.2 | 61.4 | 50.4 | 29.2 |
|  | Math A | 54 | 22.6 | 62.4 | 31.4 | -8.4 |
| 9th to 11th since 2004 | Living Environment | 86.5 | 39.1 | 55.8 | 47.4 | 30.7 |
|  | Global History | 85 | 28.6 | 50.8 | 56.4 | 34.2 |
| Mott Hall High School |  | MH HS | District 5 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math A | 84 | 65.5 | 62.4 | 18.5 | 21.6 |
|  | Foreign Language | 90 | 97.1 | 96.3 | -7.1 | -6.3 |
| 9th to 11th since 2004 | Living Environment | 72 | 47.2 | 55.8 | 24.8 | 16.2 |
|  | US History | 72 | 76.2 | 61.1 | -4.2 | 10.9 |
| Bronx Center for Science \& Math <br> 9th to 10th since 2005 |  | BC SC | District 9 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math B | 100 | 33.3 | 57.4 | 66.7 | 42.6 |
|  | Living Environment | 94 | 28.1 | 55.8 | 65.9 | 38.2 |
| Metropolitan HS |  | M HS | District 12 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math A | 60.2 | 36.4 | 62.4 | 23.8 | -2.2 |
| 9th to 10th since 2005 | US History | 38.1 | 47.8 | 61.1 | -9.7 | -23.0 |
|  | Living Environment | 56.4 | 26.8 | 55.8 | 29.6 | 0.6 |
| Mott Hall Bronx High School <br> 9th to 10th since 2005 |  | MH HS B | District 9 | City | vs. District | vs. City |
|  | Math A | 100 | 37.3 | 62.4 | 62.7 | 37.6 |
|  | US History | 80 | 52.5 | 61.1 | 27.5 | 18.9 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Regents test scores for 3 additional high schools begun in September 2005 are also presented.
    ${ }^{2}$ We opted to use the old school districts (of which there are 32) as the unit of comparison rather than the newer Regions (of which there are 10) because they are more reflective of neighborhoods.
    ${ }^{3}$ Free lunch eligibility is the strictest readily available measure of poverty. We note that large additional numbers of students are eligible for reduced price lunches.

